
HP LaserJet P1006 vs. Samsung ML-2510



Boost productivity and reduce costs with the HP LaserJet P1006 printer

- Fast, on-demand printing Instant-on Technology lets your HP LaserJet P1006 print up to 5 pages from powersave mode before the Samsung ML-2510 can even finish warming up.
- **Energy efficient** The advanced, fast-heating ceramic element within your HP LaserJet P1006's Instant-on Fuser consumes significantly less energy than the conventional fuser Samsung builds into the ML-2510. Your HP LaserJet also meets the new, more strict ENERGY STAR® rules that went into effect April 1, 2007.
- Faster processor Enjoy fast sustained performance thanks in part to your HP LaserJet P1006's powerful processor (266 MHz vs. the Samsung ML-2510's 150-MHz chip).
- Superior paper handling You can stock up to 43-lb. bond in your HP LaserJet P1006's standard paper tray, whereas the Samsung ML-2510's standard paper tray only accepts up to 24-lb. bond. Furthermore, the Samsung unit's manual feed slot only accepts a single sheet or envelope at a time. Your HP LaserJet P1006, on the other hand, holds up to 10 sheets or envelopes in its priority input tray. And unlike Samsung, HP delivers manual duplex support.
- Broader client OS support Your HP LaserJet P1006 supports Mac OS X (v.10.28, v.10.3, and v.10.4) and UNIX. The Samsung ML-2510 does not support any of these operating systems.
- **Inconspicuous** Your HP LaserJet P1006 emits less noise and has a smaller footprint than Samsung's ML-2510.



virtually eliminates warm-up time, letting HP LaserJet P1006 users print some documents before the Samsung ML-2510 can even finish warming up. HP Instant-on fusers also use far less energy than their

printing with HP

reduce distractions with HP. For instance, the HP LaserJet P1006 consumes 26% less desk space than the Samsung ML-2510. Plus the HP device is inaudible in ready mode, whereas the Samsung unit emits up to 35 decibels.

1. Based on internal HP testing. 2. Testing was performed on a single unit of each product using the ENERGY STAR® program's Typical Electricity Consumption (TEC) method. Test data was extended to 1 year. Actual power usage may vary. Individual product configurations can affect power usage. Annual energy costs are based on U.S. average costs of 10.5¢ per kilowatt hour. 3. Based on the manufacturers' published product specifications.